## RCRA DOCKET ASSIGNMENT

|   |                                  |                                       | <del></del>                                      |   |                  | -                                     |          |            |  |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|--|
|   | RCRA                             | 10                                    | 2015   | 0   | 011              |                                       |          |            |  |
| Enforceme   | nt Action                        |                                       |  |   |                  |                                       |          |            |  |
| CAFO Complaint Complaint  |                                  | Ref                                   | npliance Order<br>erral<br>f-Disclosure          | ORC Concurrence (UM Attorney Initials & Date Required (not ESA) JV and CB 8/22/14 |                  |                                       |          |            |  |
| RCRA Identific  | ation Nur                        | nber: AK5                             | 518  |   |                  |                                       |          |            |  |
| Case Name: So<br>Name of Respo<br>Regional Techn<br>Regional Attorn | ndent(s): lical Conta            | Mark Roza<br>act: Anne<br>Chris Bello | Christopher<br>ovary                             |   | P<br>Phone # 7   | hone # 8293<br>2723                   |          |            |  |
| Facility FULL Facility Addres                                       |                                  |                                       |  |   |                  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |          |            |  |
| City: Soldot  |                                  | State:                                |  | 569   |                  |                                       |          |            |  |
| Tribal Entity Business?   |                                  |                                       |  |   |                  |                                       |          | ⊠ N<br>□ N |  |
| If Multi-Media, V   | What Othe                        | r Program                             |  |   |                  |                                       |          |            |  |
| Is this enforcem  National Priority:                                |                                  |                                       | an inspection? , what type?                      | X Yes 1   | If yes, date o   | f inspection: 6/16                    | 5/14     |            |  |
| RCRA 90   | 03H4 AO<br>03H6 Cos<br>06 AO for | st Recover<br>r Comp an               | ctive Action (UST) y Action (UST) d/or Pen (UST) |   | Federal Fa       | ucility   🗌 Y 🛭                       | N        |            |  |
| RCRA 9003 US  |                                  |                                       |  |   | ons - Subtitle   | I - Corrective Actio                  | n Hazard | lous       |  |
| Substance RCRA 9003 US'   | <br>Γ Release I                  | Detection, I                          | Prevention, Correct                              | ion Regulation  | ons - Subtitle   | I - Corrective Waste                  | Petrole  | <br>um     |  |
| <b>-</b>  |                                  |                                       |  |   |                  | I - Regulatory Haza                   |          |            |  |
| -   |                                  |                                       |  |   |                  | I - Regulatory Petro                  |          |            |  |
|   |                                  |                                       |  | <del></del>   |                  | Corrective Action I                   |          |            |  |
| <del></del>   | 3]-[4] UST                       | `Release D                            | etection, Preventio                              | n, Correction   | n - Subtitle I - | Regulatory Hazardo                    | ous Subs | tance      |  |
|   |                                  |                                       |  |   |                  | Regulatory Petrole                    |          |            |  |

| Documentation of tank release detection for July, Augrelease detection (documentation of automatic line leal line tightness tests already provided)   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Proposed Penalty: \$ 10,390   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Case summary: (The information you would put in the n what you might put in a press release or the OECA Wo  |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| amounts or violations but general information about the   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| On, 2014, Region 10 filed an Expedite with Mark Rozak, the owner and operator of under Chevron, in Soldotna, AK. The ESA requires Soldoviolations, including failure to provide release detections pressurized piping with an automatic line leak detection to the submit documentation of release detection for tank | grou<br>otna<br>etion<br>etor. | un<br>Y<br>fo | d storage tanks (US) Chevron to pay a Sor tanks and piping Soldotna Y Chevron | STs) at Soldotna Y \$10,390 penalty for 4 and failure to equip n is also required to |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Justice Indicators: CTRL+Click to follow to Under the terms of the revised EJ Technical Directive (reissued ividing the regulatory and Superfund enforcement cases "initiated" sunder EJ.   | d Ap                           | ril           | $8, \overline{2013}$ ) the followin   |  |  |  |  |  |
| EJ Table 1: EJSCREEN Flag (Manual) (Select one)   |                                |               |   | K X XX A   |  |  |  |  |
| YES → If selected, proceed to EJ Table 2 & select the applicable "YES   Enhanced Review" response   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NO • If selected, proceed to EJ Table 2 & selected.   | ect the                        | e a           | pplicable "YES/NO   | Enhanced Review" response  |  |  |  |  |
| EJ Table 2: Enhanced Review for Potential EJ Concerns   | (Salar                         | at a          | ona)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YES   Enhanced Review - Potential EJ Concern Fo   | <del></del>                    | Cic           |   | ected, proceed to EJ Table 3   |  |  |  |  |
| YES   Enhanced Review - Potential EJ Concern No   |                                | 1173          |   | ected, proceed to EJ Table 3   |  |  |  |  |
| NO   No Enhanced Review → If you selected No i  |                                |               |   | ected, skip EJ Tables 3 & 4  |  |  |  |  |
| you do not have any EJ concerns, select No here. If you   |                                |               |   | ectet, skip is rubies 5 te 4   |  |  |  |  |
| concerns despite the No score from table 1, you can re  |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| enhanced review based on your knowledge of the faci   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| omidiade in the odd on your me the go of the last   | *** ) * **                     |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| EJ Table 3: Basis of EJ Determination (Select all that appl   | y bas                          | ed            | on EJ review then pro   | oceed to EJ Table 4)   |  |  |  |  |
| Community self-identification   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| X EJSCREEN data → Pre-selected for R10; do not cha  |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPA knowledge of community/location (including inspector observation)   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other federal government knowledge of community/location  |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public input  |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| State/local/tribal government knowledge of community location   |                                |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| EJ Table 4: Explanation of Basis for Potential EJ Concert<br>(Exempt")  | n Fin                          | ıdi           | ng (Select applicable   | "R10 Tier 1/2" response or   |  |  |  |  |
| R10 Tier 1 Review: Potential EJ Concern Found   |                                |               |   | <b>Potential EJ Concern Found</b>  |  |  |  |  |
| R10 Tier 1 Review: Potential EJ Concern Not   |                                | - 1           |   | Potential EJ Concern Not   |  |  |  |  |
|   |                                | - 1           | Tarana d  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Found   | <u>Lina</u>                    |               | Found   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Explanation: For Tier 1 / 2 Review, paste the Explanation text box below (ICIS is limited to 1,000 characters)  | anatic                         |               |   | e R10 EJ Coordinator into the  |  |  |  |  |

Relief sought (Note all that applies)